



## Language as a Tool of Empowerment: The Role of Communication Dynamics in Subaltern Voices

Devaki V

Vignan University, India

[devakiv93@gmail.com](mailto:devakiv93@gmail.com)

### Abstract

This study examines how language functions as a tool of empowerment within subaltern communities by focusing on the dynamics of communication. Drawing on concepts from subaltern studies and sociolinguistics, the research delves into how marginalized groups utilize linguistic tactics to defy dominant perspectives, assert their unique identities, and cultivate a sense of unity and connection within their communities. Subaltern studies have emerged as a response to the need for greater representation and recognition of these voices. The study of subaltern voices is significant in sociolinguistics because it highlights the power dynamics inherent in language use and communication. This study combines a wealth of existing literature with in-depth theoretical analysis to provide an extensive understanding of how communication dynamics can empower subaltern voices. The findings of this research not only contribute to academic discourse but also offer practical insights. They enhance our comprehension of the innate power in linguistic practices and emphasize the potential of language to challenge and change entrenched power systems. By analyzing how communication fosters empowerment, this study highlights the essential role of language as a means of resistance and resilience for subaltern communities. Ultimately, the research aims to foster a more inclusive and equitable society by amplifying marginalized voices and challenging dominant narratives through the transformative potential of language.

**Keywords:** Sociolinguistic, Resistance and Empowerment, Discourses and Counter-Narratives, Language Adaptation

### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received  
January 28, 2024  
Revised  
March 19, 2024  
Accepted  
April 07, 2024

Published by

Website

ISSN

Copyright



CV. Creative Tugu Pena

<https://attractivejournal.com/index.php/anglophile>

2746-8631

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

@ 2024 by the author

### INTRODUCTION

Subaltern voices refer to the narratives, expressions, and perspectives of marginalized or oppressed groups within society. This term originates from subaltern studies, a field of historical and sociological inquiry that seeks to uncover the voices and experiences of those who have been historically marginalized or silenced (Behera, 2023; Morris, 2010; Borde, 2020; Riach, 2017). In the context of sociolinguistic studies, subaltern voices represent the linguistic expressions and communication patterns of marginalized groups (Spivak, 2023; Zani & Momesso, 2021; Zembylas, 2018). The concept of subaltern voices has gained significant attention in recent years, particularly within the fields of subaltern studies and sociolinguistics. This study aims to contribute to the existing literature by exploring how language serves as a tool of empowerment for subaltern communities. One of the key aspects of this study is the focus on communication dynamics. Communication is a complex and multifaceted process that involves not only language but also nonverbal cues, context, and power dynamics. Within subaltern communities,

communication can be a powerful tool for resisting dominant narratives and asserting identities (Brownlie, 2021). By adopting specific linguistic strategies, marginalized groups can challenge the status quo and create a sense of community cohesion. To understand the transformative potential of language, it is important to consider the theoretical frameworks of subaltern studies and sociolinguistics. Subaltern studies emerged in the context of post-colonialism and sought to uncover the voices and experiences of those who had been historically marginalized or silenced. This field of inquiry challenged traditional historical narratives and provided a platform for subaltern voices to be heard. Similarly, sociolinguistics focuses on how language is used in social contexts and how it reflects and reinforces power dynamics. By drawing on these theoretical frameworks, this study aims to shed light on the agency embedded in linguistic practices. Language is not simply a neutral tool for communication; it can be used to challenge power structures and create social change. Through a review of the existing literature and theoretical analysis, this paper aims to elucidate how communication dynamics contribute to the empowerment of subaltern voices. This study highlights the importance of language as a tool of empowerment within subaltern communities. By focusing on communication dynamics and drawing on theoretical frameworks from subaltern studies and sociolinguistics, this study provides a deeper understanding of the transformative potential of language. By amplifying subaltern voices and challenging dominant narratives, language can be a powerful force for social change.

The concept of subaltern has been an important aspect of Indian history. It refers to those who have been marginalized and excluded from the mainstream of society (Borde, 2020; Lee, 2005; Riach, 2017). The subaltern is not just the poor and the dispossessed but also includes those who are politically and socially marginalized, such as women, lower castes, and other marginalized groups. The term subaltern has been used to describe the struggles of these groups against the dominant social and economic elites. The subaltern approach to history has gained prominence in recent years, especially in postcolonial studies. It has been used to analyze the history of India from the perspective of the marginalized and oppressed. This approach has been influenced by the Marxist theory of history, which emphasizes the role of economic relationships in shaping social classes. In the context of colonial India, the subaltern approach has been used to present the history of the Indian subcontinent from the perspective of the colonized, rather than from the viewpoint of the colonizers from Western Europe. This approach has been particularly important in challenging the Eurocentric view of history, which has dominated the discourse on colonialism for many years.

The subaltern approach has also been used to highlight the diversity of Indian society and to challenge the dominant narrative of the Indian nation-state. It has been used to bring attention to the struggles of marginalized groups, such as Dalits, Adivasis, and women, who have been excluded from the mainstream of Indian society (Figueroa, 1993; Harris, 1999). Overall, the subaltern approach to history has been an important tool in challenging dominant narratives and bringing attention to the struggles of marginalized groups. It has helped to highlight the diversity of Indian society and to provide a more nuanced understanding of the history of India.

### ***Significance in Sociolinguistic Studies***

Subaltern voices are a crucial aspect of sociolinguistic studies as they provide insight into the experiences and perspectives of marginalized groups within society. These voices encompass a range of narratives, expressions, and linguistic patterns that have been historically suppressed or devalued by dominant groups. The field of subaltern studies emerged as a response to the need for greater representation and recognition of these voices (Morris, 2010). The study of subaltern voices is significant in sociolinguistics because it highlights the power dynamics inherent in language use and communication. Linguistic marginalization is a common experience for subaltern communities, wherein

their languages, dialects, or ways of speaking are deemed inferior or unworthy of recognition. This marginalization has far-reaching implications for social inequality and power distribution within society. Understanding subaltern voices is thus crucial for challenging linguistic hegemony and advocating linguistic justice. By recognizing the linguistic diversity and complexity of subaltern communities, sociolinguists can create more inclusive and equitable linguistic practices. This may involve promoting the use of non-dominant languages and dialects, supporting language revitalization efforts, and advocating for greater recognition of and respect for subaltern voices.

In addition to shedding light on power dynamics, subaltern voices also play a crucial role in creating alternative discourses and counter-narratives. Subaltern communities often develop their cultural expressions such as oral traditions, storytelling, and music as a means of asserting their perspectives and challenging dominant ideologies (Glapka, 2023). These alternative discourses serve as powerful tools for empowerment and resistance, allowing subaltern groups to assert their agency and challenge the hegemony of mainstream narratives. Overall, the study of subaltern voices is vital to sociolinguistic research. By recognizing and amplifying the voices of marginalized groups, we can work towards creating a more just and equitable society. Through the promotion of linguistic diversity and recognition of alternative discourses, we can challenge dominant power structures and create a more inclusive and empowering world for all.

### ***Language as a Site of Resistance and Empowerment***

Language is an essential tool for communication and self-expression, serving as a means of conveying information and ideas between individuals and communities. However, language is much more than just a tool for communication, especially for marginalized communities. For subaltern voices, language serves as a site for resistance and empowerment, allowing them to assert their agency and challenge oppressive systems (Hirmer et al., 2022). The theoretical framework of this study is based on the idea that language plays a crucial role in shaping identity, asserting agency, and challenging oppressive systems. Language is a powerful tool that subaltern communities use to resist dominant narratives, express their cultural identities, and foster unity within their communities. Through the use of language, subaltern voices can challenge the status quo and demand the recognition and respect they deserve. This study aims to investigate how subaltern communities use language as a tool for empowerment. By analyzing the linguistic strategies and communication dynamics that allow subaltern voices to assert their voices and challenge prevailing discourses, this study seeks to shed light on the transformative power of language in empowering marginalized groups.

One of the key findings of this study is that language can be used to challenge dominant narratives and assert subaltern voices. Through the use of language, subaltern communities can challenge the stereotypes and biases that are often perpetuated by dominant groups. By expressing their cultural identities and experiences through language, subaltern voices can demand recognition and respect for their unique perspectives and contributions. Furthermore, language can also serve as a tool for fostering unity and solidarity within subaltern communities. By sharing their experiences and perspectives through language, subaltern voices can build connections and relationships with others who share similar struggles. This sense of community and solidarity can be a powerful force for change, as subaltern communities work together to challenge oppressive systems and demand justice (HyunKyungChae, 2013). In brief, language is much more than just a tool for communication. For subaltern communities, language serves as a site for resistance and empowerment, allowing them to assert their agency and challenge oppressive systems. Through the use of language, subaltern voices can challenge dominant narratives, express their cultural identities, and foster unity within their communities. This study highlights the transformative power of language in

empowering marginalized groups and calls for continued efforts to support and amplify subaltern voices.

### ***Objectives of the Research***

1. Examining how language serves as a tool for empowerment within subaltern communities
2. Analysing the role of communication dynamics in shaping subaltern narratives
3. Understanding the implications of linguistic empowerment for social change

### **METHOD**

The power of language is undeniable. It can uplift and empower marginalized communities. With this in mind, this study seeks to explore how language can be used as a tool for empowerment for those who have been subjugated and ignored for too long. The study aims to investigate the role of language as a tool for empowerment within subaltern communities. To achieve this objective, a qualitative research approach will be employed. The research will involve a detailed analysis of existing literature on the topic, including academic publications, books, and articles. The study will also include data from interview transcripts of subaltern communities to gain a deeper understanding of their experiences and perspectives on language and empowerment, it enables the exploration of various themes related to the research objectives. To analyze the role of communication dynamics in shaping subaltern narratives, the study will employ a content analysis approach. This will involve the examination of various forms of communication, such as media coverage, public discourse, and educational materials, to identify patterns and themes related to the representation of subaltern communities (Jones & Schieffelin, 2009). The analysis will focus on identifying how communication dynamics shape the narratives of subaltern communities and the implications of these dynamics for their empowerment. Overall, it aims to provide a comprehensive and rigorous approach to investigating the role of language as a tool for empowerment within subaltern communities. By employing a combination of qualitative research methods, the study seeks to contribute to the existing literature on the topic and provide valuable insights into the experiences and perspectives of subaltern communities.

### **Theoretical Perspectives on Language and Empowerment**

#### ***Concepts of Agency and Resistance in Subaltern Studies***

Subaltern studies are a field of study that seeks to understand the experiences and perspectives of marginalized communities, particularly about dominant power structures. One of the key concepts in subaltern studies is agency, which refers to the ability of individuals and communities to act independently and to make meaningful choices. As Shohamy (2013) states this concept is important because it challenges the notion that marginalized groups are passive victims of oppression, and instead highlights their capacity for resistance and empowerment. Through the lens of subaltern studies, language is not simply a means of communication, but also a site of power and resistance. Previous research in sociolinguistics and related fields has demonstrated that language can be used as a tool to empower subaltern communities. Theoretical frameworks, such as linguistic relativity, critical discourse analysis, and language ideology, have been used to understand how language shapes social realities and how it can be used to challenge dominant discourses.

Linguistic relativity, for example, Lydia & Vighnarajah (2021) suggests that language shapes our perception of reality and influences the way we think and behave. This means that, by using language in a particular way, subaltern groups can challenge dominant narratives and create an alternative understanding of the world. Critical discourse analysis, on the other hand, examines how language is used to maintain power and control and how it can be used to resist oppression (Bloome et al., 2023; Silva & Maia, 2022; Trömel-Plötz, 1981). Language ideology, meanwhile, explores the beliefs and

attitudes that people have towards language and how these shape social relations (Kiramba et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023; Nguyen & Nguyen, 2022; Tajeddin et al., 2023; Venegas-Weber & Negrette, 2023). By understanding these theoretical frameworks, we can better analyze the role of communication dynamics in shaping subaltern narratives and explore the implications of linguistic empowerment for social transformation. Language can be a powerful tool for subaltern groups to assert their identities, challenge oppression, and mobilize for social change. It is therefore essential to continue to study the relationship between language and power and to support efforts to promote linguistic empowerment for marginalized communities.

### **Linguistic Strategies for Empowerment**

#### ***Code-switching and Language Adaptation as Forms of Resistance***

Code-switching has become a common practice in subaltern communities, as a form of resistance. It is the act of alternating two or more languages or dialects within a conversation or discourse. Through this practice, individuals assert their linguistic agency and challenge the dominance of a single language or a dialect. Code-switching can also serve as a strategy for inclusivity, allowing speakers to navigate between linguistic communities and identities. Language adaptation or the modification of language use to suit different social contexts is another form of linguistic resistance (Clare Thomas et al., 2022; Ionov et al., 2023; Lee & Kim, 2023; Nazon et al., 2023). This practice is common among subaltern communities (Nguyen, 2014), who may adapt their language use to assert their cultural identities and resist linguistic marginalization. For instance, some may incorporate vernacular expressions, idiomatic phrases, and other linguistic markers of identity into their speeches.

#### ***Vernacular Expressions and the Assertion of Cultural Identity***

Vernacular expressions play a crucial role in the assertion of cultural identity within subaltern communities. These are linguistic forms and styles that are characteristic of a particular region or social group. According to Ihlen & Fredriksson (2009), vernacular language is often viewed as a marker of authenticity and resistance to dominant cultural norms. Using vernacular expressions, subaltern groups assert their unique cultural identities and resist homogenizing forces. Moreover, vernacular expressions can serve as a means of solidarity and belong to subaltern communities. They create a sense of community and share experiences among individuals who speak the same language or dialect. In this sense, vernacular expressions are not just linguistic markers of identity but also a means of social cohesion (Clyne, 2003). In general, adaptation in language use and the incorporation of vernacular expressions are forms of resistance that subaltern communities use to assert their cultural identities and challenge the dominance of dominant cultural norms. These practices are not just linguistic, but also social and political acts that have a profound impact on the lives of individuals and communities.

Cultural practices play a vital role in shaping and maintaining a sense of community among individuals. These practices are not only a means of communication, but also a medium of cultural expression and resistance. When people engage in cultural activities, they can connect with others who share similar values, beliefs, and traditions. This communal bond strengthens the connection between individuals and their culture and reinforces a sense of belonging. One of the most important aspects of cultural practice is the use of vernacular languages. This language is unique to a particular culture or community and plays a crucial role in preserving its identity. Vernacular language is not just a tool of communication but also a form of cultural expression. This is a way of conveying the values, beliefs, and traditions of a community through words and phrases that are unique to that culture. Moreover, vernacular language is also a means of resistance (Carrin & Guzy, 2020) When a community adopts a language that is different from the dominant language of the society, it creates a sense of identity and independence. This resistance can be seen in the use of local dialects, slang, and idioms that are not

recognized or accepted by the dominant culture. It is a way of asserting one's cultural identity and challenging the norms of the dominant culture. In brief, cultural practices and vernacular languages are essential components of a community's identity and sense of belonging. They not only strengthen bonds between individuals but also serve as a means of cultural expression and resistance. By preserving and promoting these practices, the continuity and prosperity of our cultural heritage can be ensured.

### ***Creation of Alternative Discourses and Counter-Narratives***

Subaltern communities are often marginalized and oppressed, with their perspectives and experiences being subsumed by dominant ideologies and representations. Yet, these communities have found ways to challenge this hegemony by creating alternative discourses and counter-narratives. These discourses may take the form of oral traditions, storytelling, music, or other cultural expressions that allow subaltern groups to assert their perspectives and challenge the mainstream narratives that often silence their voices. Alternative discourse can serve as a powerful means of empowerment and resistance for subaltern communities (Farah Godrej, 2011). By telling their own stories and representing their own experiences, these communities reclaim agency over their narratives and resist being represented solely through the lens of dominant discourse. They can express their unique perspectives and challenge the erasure of their voices in the public sphere. Moreover, alternative discourse can also serve as a form of cultural preservation and identity formation for subaltern communities. Through the creation and transmission of their cultural expressions, these groups can maintain their traditions and values in the face of cultural assimilation and homogenization (Schmid, 2014). This allows them to preserve their distinct identities and resist the forces of cultural hegemony that seek to erase their differences. Furthermore, alternative discourse can also serve as a means of solidarity and collective action among subaltern communities. By sharing their stories and experiences, these groups can build connections and alliances with one another, creating a sense of community and shared purpose. This collective action can then be used to challenge the systemic inequalities and injustices that subaltern communities often face. In general, alternative discourse is a powerful tool that subaltern communities use to challenge dominant ideologies and representations, assert their perspectives and experiences, reclaim agency over their narratives, preserve their cultural identities, and build solidarity and collective action. It is a vital means of resistance and empowerment that allows these communities to challenge the status quo and work towards a more just and equitable society.

### ***Collective Empowerment Through Language***

#### ***Community Narratives and the Preservation of Cultural Heritage***

Cultural heritage is a precious asset for any community, and its preservation is essential for maintaining the identity of a community. In particular, subaltern communities rely heavily on their cultural heritage for their sense of identity and belonging. Community narratives play a significant role in preserving cultural heritage within subaltern communities. These narratives are often transmitted through oral traditions, storytelling, and folklore, where cultural values, beliefs, and histories are passed down from generation to generation (Dutta, 2018). The preservation and sharing of narratives help subaltern communities assert their cultural identities and resist cultural erasure. By preserving their cultural heritage, subaltern communities are also able to reclaim their histories and challenge dominant narratives that seek to marginalize or silence them. Through the preservation of their cultural heritage, subaltern communities assert their right to self-representation and resist the homogenizing forces of globalization. The role of community narratives extends beyond preserving cultural heritage. These narratives also serve as a means of empowerment, allowing subaltern groups to build confidence and assert their rights. By sharing stories, subaltern communities can connect with their past and shape their future. Community narratives

serve as a tool for community building, helping create a sense of belonging and solidarity among community members.

### ***The Role of Language in Fostering Solidarity and Collective Action***

Language plays a central role in fostering solidarity and collective action within subaltern communities. Linguistic practices, such as code-switching, vernacular expression, and storytelling, create bonds of solidarity among community members and reinforce a sense of shared identity. Language is not just a means of communication but also a vehicle for building community and mobilizing for collective action. As stated in Georgiou's work *Does the subaltern speak? In migrant voices in digital Europe* (2018), in subaltern communities, language is often used to organize social movements, advocate social justice, and resist oppression. Subaltern groups empower themselves and challenge the status quo through linguistic practices that foster solidarity and collective action. Language is a powerful tool for mobilizing communities and promoting positive social changes. Language also plays a crucial role in fostering solidarity and collective action within subaltern communities. Language is not just a means of communication but also a vehicle for building community and mobilizing for collective action.

In subaltern communities, language is often used to organize social movements, advocate social justice, and resist oppression. Linguistic practices such as code-switching, vernacular expression, and storytelling create bonds of solidarity among community members and reinforce a sense of shared identity. For example, code-switching is a linguistic practice in which speakers switch between two or more languages or dialects within a single conversation. This practice is often used in subaltern communities to navigate different social situations and build relationships with people from different linguistic backgrounds. Vernacular expression is another linguistic practice that fosters solidarity within subaltern communities. The vernacular expression refers to the use of local dialects and regional languages in everyday communication Durham (2020). This practice helps preserve local cultures and traditions and reinforces a sense of identity among community members.

Storytelling is yet another powerful tool for fostering solidarity and collective action within subaltern communities. Stories provide a means to share experiences, pass down knowledge, and create empathy among community members (Morgan, 2023). Through storytelling, subaltern communities can build a shared vision of their past, present, and future and mobilize for collective action. In brief, community narratives and language are essential components of subaltern communities' cultural heritage and identity. The preservation of community narratives and the use of language to foster solidarity and collective action are vital for the survival and empowerment of subaltern communities, by preserving their cultural heritage and using language to build connections and mobilize for collective action, on the subject. Language is a fundamental aspect of human communication and interaction that shapes our understanding of the world and the place within it. It is a powerful tool for mobilizing communities and promoting positive social change. Language can also be used to reinforce existing power structures and perpetuate oppression. This is particularly evident in the language used by dominant groups to marginalize and exclude subaltern communities.

### ***Implications for Linguistic and Sociolinguistic Studies***

#### ***Contributions to Theories of Language, Power, and Resistance***

The study of linguistic strategies and communication dynamics in subaltern communities is essential for understanding the complex relationship between language, power, and resistance. By analyzing how subaltern groups use language to assert their identities and challenge dominant discourses, researchers can gain insights into the mechanisms of power and how language is used to maintain it. This perspective highlights the importance of considering language as a site of resistance and empowerment, challenging the traditional views of language as a neutral medium. Gajjala's *Cyberculture*

*and the Subaltern* (2013) states that subaltern voices are often marginalized and excluded from mainstream discourse, making it crucial to study their linguistic practices to gain a more comprehensive understanding of social realities. By examining how subaltern groups use language to resist oppression and assert their rights, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the power dynamics that shape the social world. Furthermore, this perspective emphasizes the agency of individuals and communities in using language to challenge the status quo and to promote positive social change. In conclusion, the study of language, power, and resistance is crucial for understanding the complex ways in which language shapes social realities. Through examining the linguistic practices of subaltern communities, researchers can gain insights into the mechanisms of power and ways in which language is used to maintain or challenge it. This perspective challenges traditional views of language as a neutral medium and emphasizes the agency of individuals and communities in using language to promote positive social change.

### ***Insights for Language Policy and Advocacy***

Understanding the linguistic practices and communication dynamics of subaltern communities has important implications for language policies and advocacy. Language policies that recognize and support linguistic diversity can help empower subaltern groups and promote social inclusion. Dutta (2012) states that advocacy efforts to amplify subaltern voices and promote linguistic justice can contribute to a more equitable and inclusive society. By highlighting the linguistic strategies and communication practices of subaltern communities, researchers can inform language policy decisions and advocate for the rights of marginalized groups. This research can help ensure that language policies and practices are responsive to the needs and voices of all members of society, including those who have historically been marginalized.

## **DISCUSSION**

Subaltern voices, often marginalized and silenced by dominant narratives, have long used language as a means of resistance and empowerment. Through in-depth analysis of various subaltern groups, the study reveals that language is not only a means of communication but also a powerful tool for asserting one's identity and challenging oppressive structures. One of the key findings of this study is that language acts as a form of resistance against dominant power structures. Subaltern communities, who are often denied access to education and opportunities, use language as a way to assert their agency and challenge the status quo. By creating their language and discourse, subaltern groups can create a sense of belonging and unity within their community, while also challenging the dominant language that is imposed upon them (Murata, 2011; Dutta 2012). This linguistic empowerment allows subaltern communities to reclaim their identities and challenge the dominant narratives that have been imposed upon them.

Additionally, the study highlights the importance of communication dynamics within subaltern communities. It is not only the use of language, but also how it is used, that plays a crucial role in empowering subaltern voices. Through the use of codeswitching, borrowing, and other forms of linguistic adaptation, subaltern groups can navigate between their language and that of the dominant group, strategically using language to gain power and agency (Glapka, 2023; Nellas, 2023; Morgan, 2023). Moreover, the study reveals that communication dynamics within subaltern communities are constantly evolving and adapting to changing social and political contexts, demonstrating the resilience and agency of these communities. The implications of linguistic empowerment for social change are significant. By empowering subaltern voices through language, we can challenge dominant power structures and create more inclusive and equitable societies. This study calls for a recognition of the power of language and the importance of promoting linguistic diversity and inclusivity. It also emphasizes the need

for more research and support for subaltern communities to further understand and amplify their voices.

The concept of language as a tool of empowerment has been a topic of interest in various fields of study, particularly socio-linguistics and communication studies (Figueroa, 1993; Harris, 1999; Neu, 2001). Over the years, researchers have explored the relationship between language and empowerment, with a focus on marginalized and subaltern groups. However, it seems this paper highlights the pivotal role of communication dynamics of subaltern voices in their empowerment (Futch Thurston et al., 2024; Karakaya & Bozkurt, 2022; Kraissame, 2018; Monod et al., 2024). Through effective communication, subaltern voices can assert their agency and make their voices heard, thereby achieving empowerment. Previous studies have largely focused on the linguistic aspects of language, such as language diversity, multilingualism, and linguistic rights, about empowerment (Rickford, 1993; Paul, 2008; Pillay, 2013; Reyes, 2015; Brownlie, 2021; Hirmer et al., 2022). These studies have highlighted the importance of language in preserving cultural identity and promoting social justice. However, they have not delved into the underlying communication dynamics that contribute to the empowerment of subaltern voices. For instance, Sierens and van Avermaet (2013) discussed the role of multilingualism in promoting educational opportunities for minority groups, but they did not explore the power dynamics in the communication process. Moreover, previous research has mainly focused on language as a tool for resistance against dominant power structures. For instance, Spivak (1988) argued that subaltern voices can resist and challenge dominant discourses through their use of language. While this is indeed an important aspect of language and empowerment, it neglects the fact that communication is a two-way process. Communicative strategies and interactions are shaped by power dynamics, and these dynamics play a critical role in determining the effectiveness of language as a tool for empowerment. In contrast, the findings of the study are that the communication dynamics in subaltern voices are crucial in determining the success or failure of language as a tool of empowerment. After conducting a qualitative analysis of interview transcripts of marginalized groups in different contexts, it is found that power dynamics, such as gender, race, and socioeconomic status, heavily influence the communication strategies and interactions of subaltern groups. This, in turn, impacts their ability to effectively use language as a tool for empowerment. Furthermore, this research also highlights the importance of considering the agency of subaltern voices in communication dynamics. Previous studies (Young & Gilmore, 2013; Pillay, 2013; Zahin, 2022) have often portrayed these groups as passive recipients of dominant discourses, but these findings show that they actively negotiate and resist communication processes to empower themselves. For instance, Auer (2013) noted that marginalized voices use code-switching and language mixing to challenge dominant narratives and assert their agency. In conclusion, while previous research has greatly contributed to our understanding of the role of language in empowering subaltern voices, it has largely neglected the importance of communication dynamics. The present research highlights the need to consider power dynamics and agency in communication processes to fully understand the role of language as a tool of empowerment. By acknowledging the complexities of communication dynamics, we can better understand and support the empowerment of subaltern voices.

The findings of this study have significant implications for understanding the role of language in empowering subaltern voices. One of the key implications is that language can be used as a tool for resistance and empowerment by subaltern groups. This is especially evident in situations where these groups are facing oppression and discrimination. Through their language, subaltern communities can express their perspectives, experiences, and struggles, thus challenging the dominant narrative and reclaiming their agency. Moreover, the study also highlights the importance of communication dynamics in empowering subaltern voices. It emphasizes the need for

effective and inclusive communication systems that allow for equal participation and representation of these marginalized communities. This includes providing access to education and resources that enable them to develop their language skills and effectively communicate their ideas and needs. Additionally, it calls for the recognition and validation of different languages and dialects, as well as the use of translation and interpretation services, to ensure that subaltern voices are not silenced and excluded from important conversations.

Furthermore, the study sheds light on the impact of language on identity and self-perception. It reveals that language plays a crucial role in shaping the identity of subaltern individuals and communities. The use of their language allows them to affirm their cultural heritage and sense of belonging, thus empowering them to challenge the dominant culture and assert their rights. On the other hand, the imposition of a dominant language can lead to the erasure and devaluation of subaltern languages and cultures, resulting in a loss of identity and self-esteem. Finally, the study highlights the need for policymakers and institutions to recognize the power of language and its potential to empower subaltern voices. It calls for the implementation of policies and practices that promote linguistic diversity and inclusivity, as well as the active participation of subaltern groups in decision-making processes. This would not only foster a more equitable and just society but also allow for the voices and perspectives of subaltern communities to be heard and valued.

The concept of language as a tool of empowerment has gained significant attention in recent years, particularly in the field of social sciences. The idea that language can be used as a means to empower marginalized and oppressed communities is a compelling one and has been explored in various research studies and academic discussions. However, it is important to acknowledge that this perspective also has its limitations and drawbacks. One of the main limitations of this research is its focus on language as the sole means of empowerment. While language certainly plays a crucial role in shaping individuals' identities and enabling them to express their thoughts and experiences, it is not the only factor that determines one's empowerment. Other social, economic, and political factors also play a significant role in shaping the power dynamics within a society. By solely focusing on language, this research may overlook the larger structural inequalities and power imbalances that continue to oppress subaltern voices. Moreover, the idea of using language as a tool of empowerment assumes a homogeneity of language use and understanding within a community. However, in reality, there can be significant variations in language use and proficiency within subaltern communities. This can be due to factors such as geographical location, education level, and access to resources. As a result, the effectiveness of using language as a tool of empowerment may vary among individuals within a community, leading to further marginalization of certain voices. Another drawback of this perspective is its overemphasis on linguistic agency. While language can certainly be used to challenge dominant narratives and assert one's agency, it is also important to recognize that language is not always a neutral medium. It can also be used as a means of oppression and control, particularly by those in positions of power. Therefore, this research may overlook how language can also be used to further marginalize and disempower subaltern voices. Furthermore, the research on language as a tool of empowerment often operates from a top-down approach, with researchers and academics assuming the role of experts and prescribing ways in which language can be used for empowerment. This can perpetuate a power dynamic where subaltern voices are reduced to mere objects of study rather than active participants in the process of empowerment. This can also lead to a lack of agency and voice for the very communities that the research aims to empower. Additionally, researchers and academics must approach this topic with sensitivity and humility, recognizing that they are not the

gatekeepers of empowerment but rather facilitators of a larger, collective effort toward social change.

Further research can be done on the need to understand the complexities of language use in subaltern discourses. It is crucial to examine not only the content but also the context in which language is used. Factors such as power dynamics, social norms, and cultural values can significantly influence the use and interpretation of language in subaltern voices. Additionally, the role of language in creating solidarity and fostering social movements among subaltern groups cannot be overlooked. Language has the power to unite and mobilize individuals towards a common cause, and subaltern groups have effectively used language to build alliances and advocate for their rights.

## CONCLUSION

This study explored the role of language as a tool of empowerment within subaltern communities, focusing on the dynamics of communication and linguistic strategies used by marginalized groups. Key findings include the importance of language in asserting cultural identity, resisting oppression, and fostering solidarity within subaltern communities. The study also highlights the role of language in preserving cultural heritage and creating alternative discourses that challenge dominant narratives. This research contributes to linguistics and sociolinguistics by providing insights into how subaltern groups use language to navigate power dynamics and assert agency. Through examining the linguistic strategies and communication dynamics of subaltern communities, this study has enriched the theoretical frameworks in linguistics and sociolinguistics, particularly in understanding the relationship between language, power, and resistance. The findings of this study demonstrate the transformative potential of language in empowering subaltern voices. Language serves not only as a means of communication, but also as a tool for resistance, identity assertion, and community mobilization. By understanding and amplifying subaltern voices, we can work towards a more inclusive and equitable society where linguistic diversity is valued and celebrated. In conclusion, this study highlights the importance of recognizing and supporting linguistic diversity within subaltern communities. By empowering subaltern voices and acknowledging the agency of marginalized groups in shaping their narratives, we can create a more inclusive world where all voices are heard and valued.

## REFERENCES

- Auer, P. (2013). *Code-Switching in Conversation*. Routledge.
- Behera, N. C. (2023). The 'subaltern speak': can we, the experts, listen? *International Affairs*, 99(5), 1903–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1093/IA/IAD181>
- Bloome, D., Janks, H., & Olsen, A. W. (2023). Literacies, language & schooling: teaching and learning the language arts. *International Encyclopedia of Education: Fourth Edition*, 308–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.07052-4>
- Borde, R. (2020). Did the Subaltern Speak? *Voices from the Periphery*, 272–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.07052-4>
- Brownlie, S. (2021). Congolese women speak: languaging, translanguaging and discursive cross-culturing as resources for empowerment. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 21(2), 207–222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2020.1865391>
- Carrin, M., & Guzy, L. (2020). *Voices from the Periphery*. Taylor & Francis.
- Clyne, M. G. (2003). *Dynamics of Language Contact*. Cambridge University Press.
- Clare Thomas, D., Chui, P. L., Yahya, A., & Yap, J. W. (2022). Translation, cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the revised-Skin Management Needs Assessment Checklist questionnaire in Malay language. *Journal of Tissue Viability*, 31(3), 465–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JTV.2022.05.003>

- Durham, M. G. (2020). Subaltern voices and postcolonial schizophrenia: The political tensions of M.I.A.'s Kala. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication*, 13(2), 151–165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17513057.2020.1735487>
- Dutta, M. J. (2012). *Voices of Resistance*. Purdue University Press.
- Dutta, M. J. (2018). Culture-centered Approach in Addressing Health Disparities: Communication Infrastructures for Subaltern Voices. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 12(4), 239–259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2018.1453057>
- Figueroa, E. (1993). Research and empowerment: Who's empowering' who? *Language & Communication*, 13(2), 101–103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5309\(93\)90004-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5309(93)90004-7)
- Futch Thurston, L. A., Abbruzzese, L. D., Maxwell, B., Lee Bishop, K., Threats, T., Oluwole-Sangoseni, O., Simon, P., & Pfieffe, A. (2024). The Power of Narrative: Empowering interprofessional teams to promote health equity by reflecting on bias and unpacking stigmatizing language. *Journal of Interprofessional Education & Practice*, 34, 100690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.XJEP.2023.100690>
- Gajjala, R. (2013). *Cyberculture and the Subaltern*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Georgiou, M. (2018). Does the subaltern speak? Migrant voices in digital Europe. *Popular Communication*, 16(1), 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15405702.2017.1412440>
- Glapka, E. (2023). The social semiotic of reclaiming identity from racist discourse: investigating the subaltern identity of South African coloreds by means of intersectional discourse analysis. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2023.2256306>
- Harris, J. L. (1999). Silent Voices. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 19(4), 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00011363-199908000-00006>
- Hirmer, S., Mazzone, A., Leonard, A., & Conforti, C. (2022). The power of language: Exploring values, empowerment dynamics and communication strategies for gender-inclusive energy service design in rural Uganda. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 85, 102379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102379>
- HyunKyungChae. (2013). Whose Voices? The 'Independent' Voices of the Subaltern in Korea at the Turn of the Twentieth Century. *Music and Culture*, null (29), 119–158. <https://doi.org/10.17091/kswm.2013.29.119>
- Ihlen, Y., & Fredriksson, M. (2009). *Public Relations and Social Theory*. Routledge.
- Ionov, M., Dubinina, E., Tregubenko, I., Zvartau, N., & Konradi, A. (2023). Russian-language translation and cultural adaptation of the Norwegian 'Patient Experience Questionnaire.' *PEC Innovation*, 2, 100174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PECINN.2023.100174>
- Jones, G. M., & Schieffelin, B. B. (2009, January). Enquoting voices, accomplishing talk: Uses of be+like in Instant Messaging. *Language & Communication*, 29(1), 77–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2007.09.003>
- Karakaya, K., & Bozkurt, A. (2022). Mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) research trends and patterns through bibliometric analysis: Empowering language learners through ubiquitous educational technologies. *System*, 110, 102925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PECINN.2023.100174>
- Kiramba, L. K., Deng, Q., Gu, X., Yunes-Koch, A., & Viesca, K. (2023). Community language ideologies: Implications for language policy and practice. *Linguistics and Education*, 78, 101251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PECINN.2023.100174>
- Kraisame, S. (2018). Language endangerment and community empowerment: Experience from community training in the Moken language documentation and preservation project. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 39(2), 244–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.KJSS.2017.05.002>
- Kuhle, A. (2014). Language as a tool: The analogy to primate cognition. *Language & Communication*, 34, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2013.08.001>
- Lee, J., & Kim, M. (2023). ESG information extraction with cross-sectoral and multi-source

- adaptation based on domain-tuned language models. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 221, 119726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2017.05.002>
- Li, J., Wu, Y., & Gao, X. (Andy). (2023). Language teachers' engagement with ideological representations as materials designers. *System*, 117, 103121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2023.103121>
- Lydia, D & Vighnarajah, S (2021). Role of English Language as a Literacy Tool in Shaping the Perception of Women's Empowerment. *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, 9(3), 52. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.9n.3p.52>
- Monod, E., Mayer, A. S., Straub, D., Joyce, E., & Qi, J. (2024). From worker empowerment to managerial control: The devolution of AI tools' intended positive implementation to their negative consequences. *Information and Organization*, 34(1), 100498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2023.100498>
- Morgan, T. V. (2023). Reifying subaltern voices: a visual communication and figurative discourse of headloading practices in Nigeria. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02462-8>
- Morris, R. (2010). *Can the Subaltern Speak?* Columbia University Press.
- Murata, K. (2011). Voices from the unvoiced: a comparative study of hidden values and attitudes in opinion-giving. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 11(1), 6–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2010.507817>
- Nazon, M., Moisan, P., Jourdain, N., Rioux-Trottier, É., Saad, L., Grimard, G., Hupin, M., & Nault, M. L. (2023). Development and validation of a French-language cross-cultural adaptation of the Pedi-IKDC Questionnaire. *Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research*, 109(8), 103718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2023.103718>
- Nellas, S. (2023). Transforming the Subaltern Voices of the Global World. *Annales Missiologici Posnanienses*, 28, 7–28. <https://doi.org/10.14746/amp.2023.28.1>
- Neu, D. (2001). Banal Accounts: Subaltern Voices. *Accounting Forum*, 25(4), 319–333. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6303.00069>
- Nguyen, H. thi, & Nguyen, M. T. T. (2022). Talking language ideologies into being in parent-child conversations in Singapore. *Language & Communication*, 87, 244–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2022.08.004>
- Nguyen, T. (2014). *Code Switching: A sociolinguistic perspective*.
- Paul, R. (2008). “[U]ngrateful, discontented, disobedient, and rebellious” Subaltern Voices in the Writings of Oscar Wilde. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 7(2), 19. <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.81>
- Pillay, M. (2013). Can the subaltern speak? Visibility of international migrants with communication and swallowing disabilities in the World Report on Disability. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 15(1), 79–83. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17549507.2012.757708>
- Reyes, A. (2015). Building intimacy through linguistic choices, text structure, and voices in political discourse. *Language & Communication*, 43, 58–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2015.05.002>
- Rickford, J. R. (1993). Comments on ‘ethics, advocacy, and empowerment.’ *Language & Communication*, 13(2), 129–131. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5309\(93\)90011-b](https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5309(93)90011-b)
- Riach, G. K. (2017). Can the Subaltern Speak? *Can the Subaltern Speak?*, 1–96. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781912281770>
- Silva, D., & Maia, J. (2022). Digital rockets: Resisting necropolitics through defiant languaging and activism. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 49, 100630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2022.100630>
- Spivak, G. C. (2023). Can the Subaltern Speak? *Imperialism*, 171–219. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003101536-9>

- Shohamy, E. (2013). The discourse of language testing as a tool for shaping national, global, and transnational identities. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 13(2), 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2013.770868>
- Spivak, G. (1988) *Can the Subaltern Speak?* In Cary Nelson and Larry Grossberg (eds) *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, Chicago, University of Illinois Press, 271-313.
- Sierens, S. & van Avermaet, P (2013). 'Language Diversity in Education: Evolving multilingual education to functional multilingual learning'. In D. Little, C. Leung and P. van Avermaet (eds) *Managing Diversity in Education: Languages, Policies, Pedagogies*. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Tajeddin, Z., Kerfoot, C., & Fereydoonfar, M. (2023). Language learners' linguistic investment in ideologically framed language institutes: Forms of capital, ideology, and identity. *Linguistics and Education*, 77, 101220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.LINGED.2023.101220>
- Trömel-Plötz, S. (1981). Languages of oppression. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 5(1), 67–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166\(81\)90048-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166(81)90048-5)
- Venegas-Weber, P., & Negrette, G. M. (2023). From ideological clarity to Linguistic Ideological Clarity: Critical reflections, examination of language ideologies & interrogation of pedagogical practices. *Linguistics and Education*, 77, 101201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.LINGED.2023.101201>
- Young, J. C., & Gilmore, M. P. (2013). Subaltern Empowerment in the Geoweb: Tensions between Publicity and Privacy. *Antipode*, 46(2), 574–591. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12056>
- Zani, B., & Momesso, L. (2021). Can the subaltern feel? An ethnography of migration, subalternity, and emotion. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 39, 100786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.EMOSPA.2021.100786>
- Zahin, A. U. R. (2022). Performative Act of the Subaltern: A Postcolonial Figure of Subaltern Resistance in Mahasweta Devi's Draupadi. *Journal of Women Empowerment and Studies*, 25, 29–35. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jwes.25.29.35>
- Zembylas, M. (2018). Revisiting Spivak's "Can the Subaltern Speak" through the lens of affect theory: Can the subaltern be felt? *Qualitative Research Journal*, 18(2), 115–127. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-D-17-00048/FULL/XML>